UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,491	10/14/2003	Rossella G. Tupler	07917-180001 / UMMC 3543 03-18	
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 10/31/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022	2	STANDLEY, STEVEN H		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1649	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/686,491	TUPLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven H. Standley	1649			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		•			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,2,5-7,10,11,21 and 23 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-2, 5-7, 21 and 23 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order access and the correction of the correction of the order access and the correction of the correction	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed 8/15/07 has been made of record. T

Claims 1-2, 5-7, 21, and 23 are under consideration. Claims 10-11 are withdrawn.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The IDS submitted 8/15/07 has been considered by the examiner. It is noted that the paper cited renders the invention obvious, but it is not by another inventorship and it is less than one year prior to Applicant's filing date.

## Objections/Rejections: Withdrawn

#### Election Restriction

3. Since the examiner has acted on claims that reasonably constituted Group II or the restriction of 10/13/06, the examiner withdraws the requirement for restriction between groups I and II of the restriction of 10/13/06.

#### Sequence Rules

4. Objection to the specification for failing to comply with sequence rules is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.

## Specification

5. Objection to the specification is withdrawn due to applicant's prior amendment and current argument.

Art Unit: 1649

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Rejection of claims 1-2 and 7 under 35 USC § 102(b) is withdrawn due to applicant's amendment.

# Objections/Rejections: Maintained/New Grounds

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Rejection of claims 1-2, 5-7, 21 and 23 under 35 USC § 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, enablement is maintained for the reasons made of record in the office action dated 3/22/07. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and not found to be persuasive. Applicant argues that the sequence was well-known in the art at the time of filing. This is not found persuasive because, as the examiner argued in the prior office action, the definition relied upon in the specification lacks any structure and is circular. Moreover, whatever constitutes a D4Z4 is highly polymorphic and varies with individual and with species and thus lacks any structure or structural boundaries. As applicants and the art have described it, one would not necessarily recognize a specific DNA sequence as a

Application/Control Number: 10/686,491 Page 4

Art Unit: 1649

D4Z4 binding element. In summary, one skilled in the art would not recognize

the boundaries of what applicant is claiming.

With regard to a generic "4q35 gene," Applicant's arguments and

amendments are persuasive.

What constitutes a D4Z4 binding element or a 4q35 D4Z4 binding element

appears to the Examiner to be the remaining issue. Also, the number of repeats

remains open such that an infinite number of undescribed repeats are

encompassed.

8. Rejection of claims 1-2, 5-7, 21 and 23 under 35 USC § 112, 1st

paragraph, written description is maintained for the reasons made of record in

the office action dated 3/22/07. Applicant's arguments have been fully

considered and not found to be persuasive. Applicant argues that amendment to

"a D4Z4 binding element comprising DNA comprising one or more 4q35 d4z4

repeats" obviates the rejection. This is not found persuasive because one of skill

in the art would not recognize a 4q35 d4z4 repeat since d4z4 repeats are highly

polymorphic and variable and vary between individuals as well as species as

argued in the prior action of 3/22/07. Furthermore, as written the claims

encompass an infinite number of DNA repeats, whatever they might be.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1649

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Standley whose telephone number is (571) 272-3432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571) 272-0867.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9306**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1649

Steve Standley, Ph.D. 10/29/07

"PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**CHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**